

# MNCASA Community Prevention Partnerships Program Scoring Rubric



**APPLICANT ORGANIZATION:** \_\_\_\_\_

**REQUESTED AMOUNT:** \_\_\_\_\_

The following scoring rubric is designed to assist you with scoring the applicant's proposal. This rubric is also accessible to all potential applicants to ensure transparency. Please use one of these forms for each application you are reviewing. Use the questions in each area as a guide for scoring. Please write comments in the space provided, as it may help you remember key points when the proposals are being discussed at the proposal review meeting. Be sure to total the points in each section (in the spaces provided). MNCASA's Prevention Team will collect all written information from the scoring rubric.

Total possible points for each section		Final Score
10	Organizational Overview	
10	Prevention Experience	
30	Project Description	
20	Target Population & Anti-Oppression Programming	
10	Evaluation & Outcomes	
20	Budget & Budget Narrative	
<b>Total Points: 100</b>		

## FUNDING RECOMMENDATION

Check the appropriate statement:

\_\_\_\_\_ Recommend funding this applicant

\_\_\_\_\_ Recommend funding this applicant with special considerations (describe special considerations):

\_\_\_\_\_ Recommend not funding this applicant (describe reason for not funding):

Additional comments:

Scoring Guide	Description
5	<i>Answer provides an outstanding level of quality; exceeds expectations of narrative question; no obvious weaknesses</i>
4	<i>Strong answer; meets expectations clearly and robustly with some areas for improvement</i>
3	<i>Average response that somewhat meets expectations</i>
2	<i>Response fails to meet expectations; requires significant revisions or additions</i>
1	<i>Answer is missing necessary information, largely unclear, or left blank; fails to align with goals or budget</i>

Organizational Overview	Points Awarded (1-5)	Total Points Possible: 10
<i>How well does the proposed project fit within the organization's mission?</i>		
<i>Does the applicant's grant management experience describe how they will successfully maintain expenses and deliverables?</i>		
<b>Total Score</b>		

Organizational Overview Comments	
Strengths:	
Weaknesses:	

<b>Prevention Experience</b>	<b>Points Awarded (1-5)</b>	<b>Total Points Possible: 10</b>
<i>How thoroughly does the applicant describe their organization's prevention history, experience, strategies, and communication approaches?</i>		
<i>Does the applicant demonstrate a broad, diverse understanding of prevention concepts, strategies, research, and best practices?</i>		
<b>Total Score</b>		

<b>Prevention Experience Comments</b>	
Strengths:	
Weaknesses:	

<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Points Awarded (1-5)</b>	<b>Total Points Possible: 30</b>
<i>Does the applicant comprehensively and clearly provide a background of the proposal with problem statement, goals, and impactful prevention strategies?</i>		
<i>Does the applicant provide significant details on how prevention capacity will be expanded through specific practices, structural shifts, policies, or programming?</i>		
<i>Does the applicant identify specific internal and external collaborators that drive connection across programs in alignment with project goals?</i>		
<i>Does the applicant offer relevant prevention strategies, concepts, research, and other practices that serve to implement a successful project?</i>		
<i>How does the applicant noticeably identify the linkages between sexual violence and oppression related to the project?</i>		
<i>Does the applicant clearly identify how staffing, organizational prevention values, and sustainability are key to achieving project goals?</i>		
	<b>Total Score</b>	

## Project Description Comments

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

<b>Target Population &amp; Anti-Oppression Programming</b>	<b>Points Awarded (1-5)</b>	<b>Weighted Section</b>	<b>Total Points Possible: 20</b>
<i>Does the applicant thoroughly describe the target population(s) and clearly identify what training and development opportunities are required to provide culturally informed programming?</i>		x 2	
<i>Does the applicant provide a nuanced understanding of the population's unique needs and demonstrate how the project will support prevention efforts with the population?</i>		x 2	
<b>Total Score</b>			

<b>Target Population &amp; Anti-Oppression Programming Comments</b>	
Strengths:	
Weaknesses:	

<b>Evaluation &amp; Outcomes</b>	<b>Points Awarded (1-5)</b>	<b>Total Points Possible: 10</b>
<i>Does the applicant thoroughly describe how the project will be evaluated, including details on specific outcomes?</i>		
<i>Does the applicant provide clear examples of metrics, data collection methods, participant engagement, and other evaluation strategies?</i>		
<b>Total Score</b>		

<b>Evaluation &amp; Outcomes Comments</b>	
Strengths:	
Weaknesses:	

<b>Budget &amp; Narrative</b>	Points Awarded (1-5)	Weighted Section	Total Points Possible: 20
<i>Does the applicant's budget align with the project as described in the Project Narrative?</i>		<b>x2</b>	
<i>Are the expenses identified in the budget reasonable to accomplish the project goals and objectives as outlined in the workplan?</i>		<b>x2</b>	
<b>Total Score</b>			

<b>Evaluation &amp; Outcomes Comments</b>	
Strengths:	
Weaknesses:	